Use This Space To Write Your Comments

Your input on the proposed plan for the Himco Dump Superfund site is important to U.S. EPA. Comments provided by the public are valuable in helping U.S. EPA select a cleanup plan for the site.

You may use the space below to write your comments, then fold, stamp, and mail this page. Comments must be
postmarked by October 29, 1992. If you have questions about the comment period, please contact Dave Novan and the form of the
(312) 886-9840 or through U.S. EPA's toll-free number 1-800-621-8431 Time for Alternative #3 - it takes one of the problem for the strong - #4 down + granter to the bill out bill out
clin sad the EPA class up siles like this - its incorbert- To ted it takes Ayears to
would really be rice if the U.S. EPA
would work primarily towards "PREVENTION" of such
environmental catastrophes which always tax to economy
and Ruman healthy in the end like this! If only
the EPA would deal the heavy hand of enforcement to
polluters (industrial, military, a private) in a heavy enough
way (slopping extremely costly fines at the polluters), the
colluters would think twice before polluting in the 1st place
and we wouldn't have to spend the fillions of dollars to claving
up the messes, payinfor the "preventable" cancers and other other oscociated
health costs cocatal by these expression mental colleges and actual incentive tinks
health costs created by these environmental colleges, and a natural incentive finds
in a prevention manner costs for much! This short term thinking is
'estructure to not aly our environment but on eronomy! Open your eyes +
Tork at Sweden, Noway, Denmark, Netherlands, Denmary, etc. This philosophy is "Procen
your environment + conserve your environment so you can have a sustainable healthy
according for a long long time. Their allowable pollution levels" are more strict a their
lines mile keary. Kesuit they have fever associated health costs from environmental cotast;
I have no comment, but
please add me to your
Affiliation (if any) Science teacher
Transce Dump Supertund site that to an lives and economy
I'd rather play a few hundred more more (675 30 US 33
Ibllars a yell from my tax payments Address
Iblans a yellohom my tax payments Address towards purchase of cleaner my techniques + nanusarie every remaind every foosten, smoke stack is cribbers, + chemical mentually the country foosten, securiting programs than payout the country foother country foother country for the country fo
L. Addition of the total state o
the billion of dollars reeded to clean up State the 1st place of helicing selection of surfitting selection in the strange of the toxic measure that should have been presented in the 1st place of the every policies and environmentally some or strong the toxic measure that should have been presented cleaner renewable every policies and environment as we can show a strong the toxic measure of help reserved because I went a clean environment or we can show a strong
the billing of dollars related to the been presented in the 1 place of hithing policies and from the transfect the toxic nesses that chould have been present cleans rememble energy policies are enumeratedly some manufact to toxic nesses to help resent cleans rememble energy policies are the constructed to the control of the present cleans rememble and a standard and the control of the control

Use This Space To Write Your Comments

Your input on the proposed plan for the Himco Dump Superfund site is important to U.S. EPA. Comments provided by the public are valuable in helping U.S. EPA select a cleanup plan for the site.

You may use the space below to write your comments, then fold, stamp, and mail this page. Comments must be postmarked by October 29, 1992. If you have questions about the comment period, please contact Dave Novak at (312) 886-9840 or through U.S. EPA's toll-free number: 1-800-621-8431. ALTERNATIVE 4 SOULDS LIKE THE BEST SOLUTION TO THE PROLEMO Name THOMAS D. BARBER 50 I have no comment, but please add me to your Affiliation (if any) mailing list for the Himco Dump Superfund site. Address 2705 CALIFORNIA RD. City ELKHART State ______ Zip _______ 465/4

Use This Space To Write Your Comments

Your input on the proposed plan for the Himco Dump Superfund site is important to U.S. EPA. Comments provided by the public are valuable in helping U.S. EPA select a cleanup plan for the site.

You may use the space below to write your comments, then fold, stamp, and mail this page. Comments must be postmarked by October 29, 1992. If you have questions about the comment period, please contact Dave Novak at (312) 886-9840 or through U.S. EPA's toll-free number: 1-800-621-8431.

I do believe to	hat any of these afternatives are
better than dor	hat any of these afternatives are not see that
any caping process	line is going to stop groundwate
from running the	rough and contaminating our
	from underground! Our drinking
water has been	foul for some time. We now
buy drinking wat	En. We were not affected for
several years, fur	t now it is bad water. From
what I have been	on Told, people south and west of
this site has	been furnished with better water
supplies Is this	still possible in our situation
being that we	are east of this site, and
not effected	for a while.
The control of the	Name HARRY A. DUNKER
I have no comment, but please add me to your	
mailing list for the Himco Dump Superfund site.	Affiliation (if any) AREA RESIDENT
	Address 27933 COUNTY RD. #10 W.
	City ELKHART
	State INDIANA Zip 46514

GERALD JOHNSON CONSULTANT 2601 OAKLAND AVE. C210 ELKHART, IND. 46517 (219) 293-8773

Mr. Dave Novak
United States EPA
Office of Public Affairs
Region 5
77 West Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, Ill. 60604

Re:- Himco Dump Superfund Site Meeting at City Council Chambers Elkhart Municipal Bldg. Elkhart, Indiana

Dear Sir:-

I am a Consultant doing work for THE POWER GROUP which is a group of concerned citizens living in the Elkhart County Area who are very concerned with the degredation of the natural ground water and the pollution of the air in this area.

The Himco Dump Site has been a source of great worry and concern to the Power Group over the past several years as they have watched the quality of the ground water in and around the area of this dump, as well as other dumps, pollute the natural resources.

I have gone over the EPA Recommended Cleanup Plan for the Himcs) Dump at Elkhart, Indiana and would like to have the EPA accept the following comments.

We feel that the Preferred Alternative Plan which the EPA titles Alternative 4: (U.S. EPA's Preferred Alternative fails to solve the Leachate and ground water pollution in this area because the leachate is not to be treated and if the plan to seal off the area as EPA proposes there is no way for the leachate to be diluted and thus not be a problem.

We suggest that no sealing be done to the surface of the Himco Dump Site and instead the <code>leachate</code> should be pumped out of the area and be treated so that all pollutants are removed and that the treated clean leachate be returned to the site by <code>spraying</code> the treated clean leachate over the surface of the Himco Dump Site so this clean material can trickel down to its original area and in the process can pick up the pollutants in the site and can then be repumped out to be treated ad infanitum. This will gradually clean up the Himco Dump Site and will not be a menace to the ground water in the area and the entire site can be cleaned up .

This system for cleaning up pollutedground water and polluted leachate in oth rareas of the U.S. has been done many times by the EPA. If the polluted leaschate is not removed on a constant basis it will gradually invade the ground water and pollute it

Would you be able to send me the following information-

- 1. Analysis of the leachate in this Dump Site
- 2. How much ground water is now polluted
- 3. How much leachate goes into the system each year,
- 4. Depth of the leachate
- 5. Depth of the ground water
- 6. How much leachate could be pumped out per year and be treated as I have suggested
- 7. How much pollutant in the soil could be removed per year as I have suggested

Your Recommended Freferred Alternative also mentions the drilling of wells to produce gas from the site and market this gas. Please send me the following information concerning this project:

- 1. Number of wells to be drilled and produced
- 2. The depths the wells
- 3. The size of the pipe to be set
 - 4. The size of the tubing in the wells
 - 5. The pressure of the gas in the wells
 - 6. The composition of the gas
 - 7. Cost of treating the gas
 - 8. The price you can sell thegas for
 - 9. The volumn of gas which can be produced and sold from the wells
 - 10. The cost of operating and managing the gas wells.

Who are the RESPONSIBLE PARTIES for this Problem area?

Who is responsible for paying for cleaning up this Site?

Who will pay the costs of this clean up?

Thank you for considering this plan.

If I can be of any help to you please let me know.

Sincerely

Gerald Johnson Consultant

ADDENDUM

The Quarry Pond, Small Pond and Large Pond could be Cleaned up and the water treated to clean up all pollution frm them and then the ponds could be used to store the leachate after the leachate had been treated and before the leachate was used to spray over the Dump Site so it could trickel through the contaminated soil to dissolve contaminants out of the soils on the Dump Site.

3/



Uctober 16, 1992

Mr. Dave Novak (PS-19J) Community Relations Coordinator Office of Public Affairs U.S. EPA, Region 5 77 W. Jackson blvd. Cnicago, IL 60604

Re: Himco Pump Superfund Site Elkhart County, Indiana

bear Sir:

As the spokesperson for CLEAN, Inc., we are extremely alarmed at the acceptance of Alternate #4 of the FS on the above Superfund site in Elkhart County, Indiana.

THIS IS ONLY A "BANDAID SOLUTION."

In a previous public hearing people were told that contamination from this site was moving toward the Bower Street wellfield which helps supply Elkhart City with their drinking water. When asked about this at the meeting on Oct. 6, we were told this was not being investigated as the well testing in the area surrounding this Superfund site had not disclosed any unacceptable levels of comtamination. We are asking for clarification of these statements.

Also we question how leachate and seepage from this old dump can be eliminated by the top "dressing" you propose when the water table in the area is very high and runs through the bottom of the dump and would naturally carry whatever lies below the top dressing to the surrounding areas.

we also feel that since Miles now owns the property, any type of deed restrictions, covenants, etc. for future building on the site is worthless. Deed restrictions can be eliminated any time in the future if the present owners, heirs, or powers of attorney so elect to do. What protection does this give to the people 30 years from now who unknowingly decided to build a home or business on this site?

A prepared statement was read by a Miles' official at thie meeting which indicated that Miles does not accept responsibility for this contamination. What protection do we taxpayers have? We are now faced with the cost of cleaning up this mess.

It is time that laws which protect we tampayers from these costs are enforced and the PRPs involved are required to pay for the damage they have done.

We do not feel this is the right approach even though EPA says it is the most economical for the money. Thirty years from now our children are going to say, "Why did they do this?."

Yours truly Norma Rekan

Lorna K. Rickard, Secretary

POWER
(People Organized for Water-purity and Environmental Responsibility)
c/o Barb Shembab
59805 Hillcrest Ave.
Elkhart, IN. 46517

Dave Novak
Community relations Coordinator
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. EPA Region 5
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, IL 60604

Dear Mr. Novak,

As a group of concerned citizens in Elkhart County we have several concerns about the proposed plan for the HIMCO dump site. First what will you plan to do with the run off from the cap proposed in alternative 4?

Second, will there be continuing monitoring off site wells and continued monitoring to guarantee no plumes are developing off site. The proposed cap will stop horizontal infiltation, but not viertical. This would appear to be the bigger threat as the aquifer as stated at the meeting is running directly thru the waste and therefore is picking up leachate.

An earlier plume was found in the Bower St. area and we feel this should be monitored as well as other areas in the vicinity. The St. Joseph River is 2 miles south and certainly falls into the potentially affected area from this contamination.

Third, we still have real problems with not attempting to collect leachate where possible since this is the main threat to the aquifer. Why do you feel that the leachate will not move off site in the near future? What's to stop this. The cap will help with leachate production but this will only make the leachate more concentrated as it is picked up from the vertical infiltration. We are relying heavily on promise made at the public hearing that if off site contamination is found later another remedial study etc. will be done.

Feel some type of leachate collection should at least be tried particularly if leachate weeps are still present in the waste area. Earthmovers Inc. presently is retreiving leachate from their site on CR 26. Can something similar to this procedure be attempted? Are you assuming that the concentration moving offsite will remain below treatable standards and on what do you base this?

Oct. 26, 1992
GERALD JOHNSON
CONSULTANT
2601 Oakland Ave. C210
Elkhart, Ind. 46517

Mr. Dave Novak United States EPA Office of Public Affairs Region 5 77 West Jackson Blvd. Chicago, Ill 60604

Re:- Himco Dump Superfund Site Meeting at City Council Chambers Elkhart Municipal Bldg. Elkhart, Indiana

Dear Sir:-

After our telephone conversation the other day I have decided to write you this additional comment to my Comment of Oct. 6,1992 which I sent to you.

The Preferred Alternative plan which the EPA wants to use for covering and sealing off the the entire land fill and the contaminated soils in the construction area would cause any rain and snow melt to follow the SAND DRAINAGE LAYER TO THE OUTER LIMITS OF THE COVERED AREA AND BE DUMPED ONTO THE EXPOSED SOIL OF THE UNCOVERED AREAS.

This uncovered area would act as an aquifer to carry the water not only away from the covered area but also into the landfill. This portion of the water that would enter the landfill would then perculate through the landfill into leachate presently under the landfill.

Without sealing the top of the landfill with a liner the leachate would continue to increase and would enter the groundwater. This would negate the EFA program..

Sincerely

Gerald Johnson
Consultant

(219(293-8773

VERLAND D. BLOXSON 28961 ALPINE LANE ELKHART IN 46514

October 15,71392

Mr. Dave Novak (PS-19J)
Community Relations Coordinator
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. EPA, Region 5
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, IL 60604

HIMCO Dump Superfund Site Public Comment

Dear Mr. Novak:

I attended the recent meeting in Elkhart regarding the subject. Since that time I have had an opportunity to discuss the meeting with those who attended and others who could not attend but who have knowledge of the situation.

I have a personal interest in a proper solution to the Himco dump site situation. I live less than one mile from the site where I have my own shallow well providing water for all uses in my home. I recently had occasion to open my well storage / pressure tank and found that the interior was coated with a slimy brownish green material. All of this I thoroughly cleaned out before I reactivated my water system. I am fearful that the contaminants that caused the conditions I observed are continuously present in my daily water supply. I inquired at a local testing company about the cost of testing my water. The cost to test for the 87 chemicals on your list and found at the dump site would cost me approximately \$700.00. Since I am retired on a fixed income, I carmot afford to absorb such cost.

I am greatly concerned that the so called EPA recommendations for clearup is not a clearup in any sense of the word. How can you call an obvious cover up of the pollution, a cleanup ??? EPA admits that the contaminants are already below the water table. You argue that there are no dangerous toxic levels in the off site water tables, by today's standards. It is highly probable that the standards could charge at any time. I remember when cigarettes were considered safe. Furthermore you argue that the ground water flow is to the southeast. This may be true today, but could change at any time in the future. A large consumer of ground water locating to the south west of the site could alter the flow patterns dramatically, exposing a whole new group of residents to the already contaminated water below the site. fact increased usage of the water in any southerly direction could cause the contaminated water to flow with increased volume out of the site area and into areas where contamination of city water or individual wells will occur.

I am convinced that my water and that of my immediate meighbors in this subdivision is already contaminated. ****

It is general public knowledge that Himco and major clients made use of the subject site to dispose of materials that were prohibated by the Elkhart County Landfill. Furthermore a major offender was allowed to conduct tests at the site for EPA. These tests were done after tank trucks of fresh water were pumped down into the test wells to insure that contaminants would not be detected. Those contaminants are still there—con site— waiting for the right conditions to occur to cause illness and death to us or future generations. The actions of Himco and their clients during the dumping period can only be considered a conspinacy to dupe the public and the EPA and to cover up illegal activities creating an extreme hazard to individuals and the community.

The covering up of the dump site as proposed by EPA is a farce and carnot possibly guarantee the future health of the community. It seems to me that the EPA is a party to a sell out to the big business interests who want to push the problem under the carpet to avoid the cost of the only real solution to the problem, ie: excavate all of the contaminates from the site and place them in a suitable landfill, approved for such materials. The time to do it right is now!! Once the present cover up is completed, there will not be a second charce to go back to the responsible parties even though the toxic conditions in the ground water off site may become critical. The second time around will become an enormous taxpayer burden.

So that I may inform all negatively impacted and interested parties about this matter, this is my request for a list of all names and addresses of all attendees at the October 6, 1992 and the July 12, 1990 meetings as permitted under the Freedom Of Information Act.

I trust that no final decision, regarding this matter, will be made until a more thorough investigation is made of the real consequences of this proposed solution. In the long term, there is no proper solution other than the one I have stated above.

I would appreciate a written response to this letter along with the names and addresses I have requested.

Rlowson

Sinctrely,

VERLAND D. BLOXSON